High energy color transparency - an effective tool to study strong interaction dynamics, structure of photon, GPDs Mark Strikman, PSU

Nuclear chromo-dynamics workshop, ANL April 8



CT phenomenon plays a dual role:

probe of the high energy dynamics of strong interaction probe of minimal small size components of the hadrons X

at intermediate energies also a unique probe of the space time evolution of wave packages

Basic tool of CT: suppression of interaction of small size color singlet configurations = CC

For a dipole of transverse size d:

 $\sigma = cd^2$ in the lowest order in α s (two gluon exchange F.Low 75) $\sigma(d, x_N) = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \alpha_s(Q_{eff}^2) d^2 \left[x_N G_N(x_N, Q_{eff}^2) + \frac{2}{3x_N S_N(x_N, Q_{eff}^2)} \right]$

Here S is sea quark distribution for quarks making up the dipole.

(Baym et al 93, FS&Miller 93 & 2000)

- QCD factorization theorems for hard exclusive processes Brodsky et al 94, Collins et al 97,....



Brief Summary of CT: squeeze and freeze **Squeezing:** (a) high energy CT Select special final states: diffraction of pion into two high pt jets: $d_{q\bar{q}} \sim 1/p_t$ # Select a small initial state: Y^*_L - $d_{q\bar{q}}$ − I/Q in Y^*_L + N → M+ B QCD factorization theorems are valid for these processes with the proof based on the CT property of QCD

(b) Intermediate energy CT

- Nucleon form factor *
- $\star Y^*_L (Y^*_T ?) + N \rightarrow M + B$

Large angle (t/s = const) two body processes: $a + b \rightarrow c + d$ Brodsky & Mueller 82 talks on ρ, π, N CT at llab energies

- Problem: strong correlation between t (Q) and lab momentum of Ţ produced hadron

Freezing: Main challenge: |qqq> (|qq̄>) is not an eigenstate of the QCD Hamiltonian. So even if we find an elementary process in which interaction is dominated by small size configurations - they are not frozen. They evolve with time - expand after interaction to average configurations and contract before interaction from average configurations (FFLS88)

 $|\Psi_{PLC}(t)\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \exp(iE_i t) |\Psi_i t\rangle = \exp(iE_1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i |\Psi_i t\rangle$

$$\sigma^{PLC}(z) = \left(\sigma_{hard} + \frac{z}{l_{coh}} \left[\sigma - \sigma_{hard}\right]\right) \theta(l_{coh} - \sigma_{hard})$$

Icoh~ (0.4- 0.8) fm Eh[GeV] actually incohe

Ρ



 $eA \rightarrow ep (A-I)$ at large Q

 $pA \rightarrow pp (A-I)$ at large intermediate energie

Note - one can use multihadron basis with build in CT (Miller and Jennings) or diffusion model - numerical results for σ^{PLC} are very similar.

$\exp\left(\frac{i(m_i^2 - m_i)}{2P}\right)$	$\left(\frac{2}{1}\right)t$	$ \Psi_i t) angle$		
$z) + \sigma \theta(z - \theta)$	$l_{coh})$		Quantum Diffusion mode of expansion	el
erence length	The same expression with the			
Ρ	same parameters describes production of leading hadrons in DIS - U.Mozel et al			S
Ρ	MC's at RHIC assume much			
tand	larger l _{coh} = Ifm E _h /m _h ;			
e and Es	for pions I _{coh} = 7 fm E _h [GeV] - a factor of 10 difference !!!			

Implication for mEIC.

In the range of momentum transfers to the target nucleon feasible for collider lumi - $-t < 2 \text{ GeV}^2$ expansion is fast and so color transparency effects for propagation of nucleons in the nucleus fragmentation region are very small.

Possible exception - chiral transparency effects - will discuss briefly

In the current fragmentation region freezing is very effective \Rightarrow color transparency effects for propagation of hadronic components of the photon are not suppressed by diffusion effects.

High energy color transparency is well established

At high energies weakness of interaction of point-like configurations with nucleons - is routinely used for explanation of DIS phenomena at HERA.

First experimental observation of high energy CT for pion interaction (Ashery 2000): $\pi + A \rightarrow "jet" + "jet" + A$. Confirmed predictions of pQCD (Frankfurt , Miller, MS93) for A-dependence, distribution over energy fraction, u carried by one jet, dependence on p_t(jet), etc



Squeezing occurs already before the leading term (I-z)z dominates!!!

Presence of small configurations in pion \Rightarrow presence of configurations with superstrong interaction (SSC's) \Rightarrow color fluctuations in hadrons



Color fluctuations explains cross section of coherent diffraction off nuclei (FMS93, ...)

7

o_{din}(

10

Cross-section probability for pions $P_{\pi}(\sigma)$ and nucleons $P_{N}(\sigma)$ as extracted from experimental data. $P_{\pi}(\sigma=0)$ is compared with the perturbative QCD prediction (BBFS93).



For photons fluctuations are enhanced since $P_{Y}(\sigma) \propto 1/\sigma$ for small σ



pQCD + vector meson contributions to $P_{Y}(\sigma)$ LF +Guzey +MS 98

Coherent diffraction in $\gamma(\gamma^*) A \rightarrow M A$ mapping of the color fluctuations in photons, interplay between soft and hard contributions - looking CT configurations and SSC's. Example - are small mass $\pi^+\pi^-$ configurations interact with $\sigma \sim 2\sigma_{\pi N}$?

Delicate point: in γ^* case one measures sum of coherent and incoherent diffract



High energy CT = QCD factorization theorem for DIS exclusive meson processes (Brodsky, Frankfurt, Gunion, Mueller, MS 94 - vector mesons, small x; general case Collins, Frankfurt, MS 97). The prove is based (as for dijet production) on the CT property of QCD not on closure like the factorization theorem for inclusive DIS.



Coherent exclusive vector meson production in DIS (onium in photoproduction) The leading twist prediction (neglecting small t dependence of shadowing)

$$\sigma_{\gamma A \to VA}(s) = \frac{d\sigma_{\gamma N \to VN}(s, t_{min})}{dt} \left[\frac{G_A(x_1, x_2, Q_{eff}^2)}{AG_N(x_x, x_2, Q_{eff}^2)} \right]$$

where $x = x_1 - x_2 = m_V^2 / W_{\gamma N}^2 = (m_V^2 + Q^2) W_{\gamma^* N}^2$



High energy quarkonium photoproduction in the leading twist approximation.

$$\begin{aligned} & \Downarrow \\ \frac{G_A(x_1, x_2, Q_{eff}^2, t = 0)}{G_N(x_1, x_2, Q_{eff}^2, t = 0)} \approx \frac{G_A((x_1 + x_2)/2, Q_{eff}^2, t = 0)}{G_N((x_1 + x_2)/2, Q_{eff}^2, t = 0)} \\ \frac{(x_1 + x_2)_{J/\psi}}{2} \approx x; \quad \frac{(x_1 + x_2)\gamma}{2} \approx x/2 \end{aligned}$$



for small sizes - LT much larger screening than eikonal





In the kinematics of mEIC (x \geq 0.01) mostly CT without significant shadowing - transition from the soft dynamics with Gribov-Glauber type screening to the CT regime without LT gluon shadowing.

factor > 2 shadowing effects for J/ψ for x < 10⁻² & for Y for $x < 10^{-4}$

Comment: What is doable and what is not in the studies of diffraction at eA collider with heavy nuclei

Four types of diffraction

Coherent diffraction - final nuclear state = A

 $\sigma \sim A^{4/3}$ (hard), $\sigma \sim A^{2/3}$ (soft),

Coherent excitation of nuclear levels - final nuclear state = A^*

Photon energy \sim few MeV in the nucleus rest frame; ~ 100 MeV in collider frame, average opening angle $I/\gamma_A \sim 10$ mrad for eRHIC. $\sim 10\%$ of the total coherent diffraction.

Incoherent diffraction - final nuclear state = A^* with excitation energies above 8 MeV decays with emission of neutrons - easy to detect $\sigma \sim A$ (hard), $\sigma \sim A^{1/3}$ (soft) - the same change of power between "hard" and "soft" as for coherent case A^{1/3} larger effect than in $Y^* + A \rightarrow VM + A^*$ at x> 0.1



Inelastic incoherent diffraction - final nuclear state A^* + hadrons - challenge to detect: 20% of incoherent diffraction for t~0; dominates for large t.

(small x: $1/2m_Nx > 2R_A$)

Dominates at small -t (below first minimum)

- Dominates at small -t at and above the first minimum) $A^* \rightarrow A + \gamma(2\gamma)$...



b (GeV⁻²)

10

8

6

2

σ

Expect significant CT effects for meson production for $Q^2 \ge 1$ 3GeV²; HERMES - smaller squeezing for Q² 3GeV²? Energy dependence of squeezing due to increase of σ for small dipoles?

$\frac{B(Q^2) - B_{2g}}{B(Q^2 = 0) - B_{2g}} \sim \frac{d^2(dipole)}{d_o^2}; \quad \frac{d^2(dipole)(Q^2 \ge 3\text{GeV}^2)}{d_o^2} \le 1/2 \div 1/3$

Convergence of B for ρ -meson electroproduction to the slope of J/ψ photo(electro)production - direct proof of squeezing.

 Q^2 dependence of the dipole transverse size for VM production, FKS 95



х х A-dependence of coherent p-meson production in dipole eikonal approximation - FKS95

General features of A-dependence of the coherent VM production : for fixed Q^2 - R_V decreases with decrease of x, for fixed x - R_V increases with Q^2

Precision studies of coherent dynamics with light nuclei: ²H, ³He, ⁴He





e.m. form factor goes through 0 at $-t \sim 0.4 \text{ GeV}^2$ \Rightarrow strong sensitivity to double scattering starting at -t ~0.1 GeV²



Levin & MS 75



Strong sensitivity of the shape to the strength of double scattering

Other directions of study



8

6

0.05

0

2

4

Average configuration

dominance

 $\frac{1}{10}$ -t[GeV²]

Blok, LF, MS 10

It is likely that $T(Pb(\gamma,\rho N)) > T(Pb(\pi,\pi N))$

Early squeezing - graduate shift of $<\sigma>$ for dominant configurations

Negligible effect from proton squeezing - fast expansion

G.Miller, MS



Consider $\gamma + A \rightarrow \rho + (N\pi) + (A-I)^* (p_t(\rho) + p_t(N\pi) \le k_F)$

Transparency ratio:

 $T_N > T_{NT}$????

Best to study with light nuclei where expansion is moderate.

 $M_{N\pi}$ close enough to threshold $T_{N\pi} = \sigma(\gamma + A \rightarrow \rho + N\pi + (A - I)^*) / Z\sigma(\gamma + \rho \rightarrow N\pi + \rho)$



Extend the Jlab experiment to larger energies where quark- antiquark pair is frozen.

If rates are high enough - extend to x < 0.03 where shadowing for valence quarks could be present. Note: for incoherent exclusive processes - soft \rightarrow hard is a smaller effect at x> 0.1 due to small coherence length:

 $\sigma(x > 0.1) \propto A^{2/3} \rightarrow A; \sigma(x < 0.01) \propto A^{1/3} \rightarrow A$



 $T(\gamma^* + A \to \pi^+ \pi^0 + A^*) \approx T(\gamma^* + A \to \rho^+ + A^*)$ if both processes via quark-antiquark pair with the nucleus $rightarrow T(2 \pi) < T(\pi)$ if early formation

At what Q squeezing starts in the exclusive pion production at high energies?



New type of hard hadronic processes - branching exclusive processes of large c.m. angle scattering on a "cluster" in a target/projectile (MS94)

to study both CT of $2 \rightarrow 2$ and hadron GPDs



Two recent papers: Kumano, MS, and Sudoh PRD 09; Kumano & MS arXiv:0909.1299, Phys.Lett. 2010





For e A collider examples of possible processes

$$\gamma^* + A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 A^*$$

current fragmentation



$$\gamma^* + A \rightarrow \rho^0 \pi^+ A^*$$

nuclear fragmentation

rapidity interval between π^+ and A regulates formation time and hence CT!!!

$2 \rightarrow 3$ branching processes:



test onset of CT for $2 \rightarrow 2$ avoiding diffusion effects

For example at what s',t process $\gamma \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi$ is due to scattering in small configurations, when point -like component of photon starts to dominate.



measure transverse sizes of b, d,c



measure cross sections of large angle (γ) pion - pion (kaon) scattering



probe 5q in nucleon and 4q in mesons



measure GPDs of nucleons, photons, and mesons(!)



measure pattern of freezing of space evolution of small size configurations

Factorization:



If the upper block is a hard $(2 \rightarrow 2)$ process, "b", "d", "c" are in small size configurations as well as exchange system (qq, qqq). Can use CT argument as in the proof of QCD factorization of meson exclusive production in DIS (Collins, LF, MS 97)

$$\mathcal{M}_{NN\to N\pi B} = GPD(N \to B) \otimes$$

 \downarrow

c (baryon)

e (meson)

 $\psi_{b}^{i}\otimes H\otimes\psi_{d}\otimes\psi_{c}$



 $l_{coh} = (0.4 \div 0.6 \text{ fm}) \cdot p_h / (\text{GeV}/c)$ $p_c \ge 3 \div 4 \text{ GeV}/c, \quad p_d \ge 3 \div 4 \text{ GeV}/c$ $p_b \ge 6 \div 8 \,\mathrm{GeV/c}$

trivially satisfied for EIC kinematics

Time evolution of the $2 \rightarrow 3$ process

How to check that squeezing takes place and one can use GPD logic?

Use as example process $\gamma A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- A^*$

consider the rest frame of the nucleus

$p_f(\pi) = p_Y/2$, vary $p_{ft}(\pi) = 1 - 2 \text{ GeV/c}$;





Branching $(2 \rightarrow 3)$ processes with nuclei - freezing is 100% effective for p_{inc} > 100 GeV/c - study of one effect only - size of fast hadrons



If squeezing is large enough can measure quark- antiquark size using dipole - nucleon cross section which I discussed before

$$\sigma(d,x) = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \alpha_s(Q_{eff}^2) d^2 \left[x G_N(x,Q_{eff}^2) + \frac{2}{3} x S_N(x,Q_{eff}^2) \right]$$

where $\vec{p_b}, \vec{p_c}, \vec{p_d}$ are three momenta of the incoming and outgoing $\int \rho_A(\vec{r}) d^3r = A$

$$\vec{r}$$
) = exp $\left(-\int_{\text{path}} dz \,\sigma_{\text{eff}}(\vec{p}_j, z) \rho_A(z)\right)$

Large effect even if the pion radius is changed just by 20%

If there are two scales in pion (Gribov) - steps in $T(k_t^{\pi})$ as a function of k_t^{π}

Discussed processes will allow

1	V
1	$\langle \rangle$

to discover the pattern of interplay of large and small transverse distance effects (soft and hard physics) in wide range of the processes including elastic scattering, large angle two body processes



₩

▓

▓

compare wave function of different mesons

map the space-time evolution of small wave packets at distances | < z < 6 fm



measure a variety of GPDs including GPDs of photon