1

High energy color transparency - an effective tool to study strong interaction *dynamics, structure of photon, GPDs* Mark Strikman, PSU

Nuclear chromo-dynamics workshop, ANL April 8

CT phenomenon plays a dual role:

✠ probe of the high energy dynamics of strong interaction ✠ probe of minimal small size components of the hadrons

σ*= cd2 in the lowest order in* α*s (two gluon exchange F.Low 75)* $\sigma(d,x_N) =$ π^2 3 $\alpha_s(Q_{eff}^2) d^2 \left[x_N G_N(x_N,Q_{eff}^2) + 2/3 x_N S_N(x_N,Q_{eff}^2) \right]$

Here S is sea quark distribution for quarks making up the dipole.

at intermediate energies also a unique probe of the space time evolution of wave packages

Basic tool of CT: suppression of interaction of small size color singlet configurations = CC

For a dipole of transverse size d:

- QCD factorization theorems for hard exclusive processes Brodsky et al 94, Collins et al 97,....
	-

(Baym et al 93, FS&Miller 93 & 2000)

Brief Summary of CT: squeeze and freeze Squeezing: (a) high energy CT $\frac{1}{20}$ Select special final states: diffraction of pion into two high p_t jets: $d_{q\bar{q}} \sim 1/p_t$ \mathcal{H} Select a small initial state: $Y^{\ast}L - d_{q\bar{q}}$ 1/Q in $Y^{\ast}L + N \rightarrow M + B$ QCD factorization theorems are valid for these processes with the proof based on the CT property of QCD

> Problem: *strong correlation* between t (Q) and lab momentum of \downarrow

(b) Intermediate energy CT

- ✽ Nucleon form factor
- ✽ ^γ*L (γ*T ?)+ N→ M+ B

-
-
-

✽ Large angle (t/s = const) two body processes: a+ b →c+ d Brodsky & Mueller 82 produced hadron talks on ρ,π,N CT at Jlab energies

Freezing: Main challenge: |qqq> (|qq[>]) is not an eigenstate of the QCD Hamiltonian. So even if we find an elementary process in which interaction is dominated by small size configurations - they are not frozen. They evolve with time - expand after interaction to average configurations and contract before interaction from average configurations (FFLS88)

Note - one can use multihadron basis with build in CT (Miller and Jennings) or diffusion model - numerical results for $σ^{PLC}$ are very similar.

p

lcoh

 $|\Psi_{PLC}(t)\rangle = \sum$ ∞ *i*=1 $a_i \exp(iE_i t) | \Psi_i t$ \rangle = $\exp(iE_1)$ $\sqrt{}$ ∞ *i*=1

lcoh~ (0.4- 0.8) fm Eh[GeV] *actually incoherence length*

-

 $eA \rightarrow ep(A-I)$ at large Q

 $pA \rightarrow pp(A-I)$ at large intermediate energie

$$
\sigma^{PLC}(z) = \left(\sigma_{hard} + \frac{z}{l_{coh}} \left[\sigma - \sigma_{hard}\right]\right) \theta(l_{coh} -
$$

Implication for mEIC.

In the range of momentum transfers to the target nucleon feasible for collider lumi - $-t < 2 GeV^2$ expansion is fast and so *color transparency effects for propagation of nucleons in the nucleus fragmentation region are very small*.

In the current fragmentation region freezing is very effective \Rightarrow *color transparency effects for propagation of hadronic components of the photon are not suppressed by diffusion effects.*

Possible exception - chiral transparency effects - will discuss briefly

First experimental observation of high energy CT for pion interaction (Ashery 2000): π +A →"jet"+"jet" +A. Confirmed predictions of pQCD r ransfered, micronically $\overline{}$ $\mathbf T$ UI A-UCPCHUCHCC, UISCHD!
ndence on b.(iet) etc ⁴ (2u − 1) (Frankfurt , Miller, MS93) for A-dependence, distribution over energy fraction, u *carried by one jet, dependence on pt(jet), etc*

Fit of General General Polynomials *High energy color transparency is well established*

Squeezing occurs already before the leading term (1-z)z dominates!!!

nucleons - is routinely used for explanation of DIS phenomena at HERA. At high energies weakness of interaction of point-like configurations with

Presence of small configurations in pion 㱺 **presence of configurations with superstrong interaction (SSC's)** 㱺 *color fluctuations in hadrons*

Color fluctuations explains cross section of coherent diffraction off nuclei (FMS93, ...)

7

पू
¤∎ा

10

Cross-section probability for pions $P_{\pi}(\sigma)$ and nucleons $P_N(\sigma)$ as extracted from experimental data. $P_{\pi}(\sigma=0)$ is compared with the perturbative QCD

 $pQCD$ + vector meson contributions to $P_y(\sigma)$ LF +Guzey +MS 98

For photons fluctuations are enhanced since $P_{\gamma}(\sigma) \propto 1/\sigma$ for small σ

Coherent diffraction in $\gamma(\gamma^*) A \rightarrow MA$ mapping of the color fluctuations in photons, interplay between soft and hard contributions - looking CT configurations and SSC's. Example - are small mass $\pi^+ \pi^-$ configurations interact with $\sigma \sim 2\sigma_{\pi N}$?

Delicate point: in γ^{*} case one measures sum of coherent and incoherent diffract

High energy CT = QCD factorization theorem for DIS exclusive meson processes (Brodsky, Frankfurt, Gunion, Mueller, MS 94 - vector mesons, small x; general case Collins, Frankfurt, MS 97). The prove is based (as for dijet production) on the CT property of QCD not on closure like the factorization theorem for inclusive DIS.

Figure 1: High energy quarkonium photoproduction in the leading twist approximation.

$$
\frac{G_A(x_1, x_2, Q_{eff}^2, t=0)}{G_N(x_1, x_2, Q_{eff}^2, t=0)} \approx \frac{G_A((x_1 + x_2)/2, Q_{eff}^2, t=0)}{G_N((x_1 + x_2)/2, Q_{eff}^2, t=0)}
$$

$$
\frac{(x_1 + x_2)_{J/\psi}}{2} \approx x; \frac{(x_1 + x_2)\gamma}{2} \approx x/2
$$

$$
\sigma_{\gamma A \to VA}(s) = \frac{d\sigma_{\gamma N \to VN}(s,t_{min})}{dt} \Bigg[\frac{G_A(x_1,x_2,Q_{eff}^2)}{AG_N(x_x,x_2,Q_{eff}^2)}
$$

 $where \; x = x_1 - x_2 = m_V^2/W_{\gamma N}^2 = (m_V^2 + Q^2)W_{\gamma^* N}^2$

The leading twist prediction (neglecting small t dependence of shadowing) Coherent exclusive vector meson production in DIS (onium in photoproduction)

for small sizes - LT much larger screening than eikonal

factor > 2 shadowing effects for J/ ψ for $x < 10^{-2}$ & for Υ for x< 10-4

 $\overline{1}$

soft dyr \mathbf{r} In the kinematics of mEIC ($x \ge 0.01$) mostly CT without significant shadowing - transition from the vith Gribov-Glauber type \mathbf{r} soft dynamics with Gribov-Glauber type screening to the CT regime without LT gluon shadowing.

 $\overline{}$

Comment: What is doable and what is not in the studies of diffraction at eA collider with heavy nuclei

Four types of diffraction

 \odot *Coherent excitation of nuclear levels - final nuclear state = A**

Photon energy \sim few MeV in the nucleus rest frame; \sim 100 MeV in collider frame, average opening angle $1/\gamma_A$ ~10 mrad for eRHIC. ~ 10% of the total coherent diffraction.

Incoherent diffraction - final nuclear state $= A^*$ with excitation energies above 8 MeV *decays with emission of neutrons - easy to detect* σ A (hard), σ \sim A^{1/3} (soft) - the same change of power between "hard" and "soft" as for coherent case A^{1/3} larger effect than in γ^* + A \rightarrow VM + A^{*} at x > 0.1

☻ *Coherent diffraction - final nuclear state = A*

 σ \sim A^{4/3} (hard), σ \sim A^{2/3} (soft),

Dominates at small -t (below first minimum)

- Dominates at small -t at and above the first minimum) *A** → *A+* γ*(2*γ*)...*
	-
	-
	-

☞ *Inelastic incoherent diffraction - final nuclear state A* + hadrons - challenge to detect: 20% of incoherent diffraction for t~0; dominates for large t.*

(small x: $1/2m_Nx > 2R_A$)

b (GeV-2)

0

 $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$

2

4

6

8

10

12

Q² dependence of the dipole transverse size for VM production, FKS 95

Expect significant CT effects for meson production for $Q^2 \ge$ $3GeV²$; HERMES - smaller squeezing for Q² 3GeV²? Energy dependence of squeezing due to increase of σ for small dipoles?

Convergence of B for ρ-meson electroproduction to the slope of J/ψ photo(electro)production - **direct proof of squeezing.**

13

;
? $d^2(dipole)(Q^2 \geq 3{\rm GeV}^2)$ d_ρ^2 $\leq 1/2 \div 1/3$

х х A-dependence of coherent ρ-meson production in dipole eikonal approximation - FKS95

General features of A-dependence of the coherent VM production : for fixed Q^2 - R_V decreases with decrease of x, for fixed x - R_v increases with Q^2

Precision studies of coherent dynamics with light nuclei: ²H, ³He, ⁴He

-
-

Coherent scattering of ⁴He. Simple nucleus with significant rescattering probability and negligible triple rescattering at to large -t.

e.m. form factor goes through 0 at $-t \sim 0.4$ GeV² \Rightarrow strong sensitivity to double scattering starting at -t \sim 0.1 GeV²

Strong sensitivity of the shape to the strength of double scattering

Levin & MS 75

Other directions of study

It is likely that $T(Pb(y, \rho N)) > T(Pb(\pi, \pi N))$

0.05

 0.10

 $\frac{1}{0}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{8}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{$

G.Miller, MS

Blok, LF, MS 10

-
-

Early squeezing - graduate shift of <σ> for dominant configurations

Average configuration

dominance

Negligible effect from proton squeezing - fast expansion

Consider $\gamma + A \rightarrow \rho + (N\pi) + (A-I)^*$ $(p_t(\rho) + p_t(N\pi) \le k_F)$

Transparency ratio: $T_{NT} = \sigma(\gamma + A \rightarrow \rho + N\pi + (A-1)^*) / Z\sigma(\gamma + p \rightarrow N\pi + p)$

 T_N > T_{NT} ????

Best to study with light nuclei where expansion is moderate.

M_{Nπ} close enough to threshold

Extend the Jlab experiment to larger energies where quark- antiquark pair is frozen.

If rates are high enough - extend to $x < 0.03$ where shadowing for valence quarks could be present. Note: for incoherent exclusive processes - soft \rightarrow hard is a smaller effect at $x > 0$. I due to small coherence length:

 $\sigma(x > 0.1) \propto A^{2/3} \rightarrow A$; $\sigma(x < 0.01) \propto A^{1/3} \rightarrow A$

 \mathbb{Q} $T(\gamma^* + A \to \pi^+ \pi^0 + A^*) \approx T(\gamma^* + A \to \rho^+ + A^*)$ if both processes via quark-antiquark pair with the nucleus \circledast T(2 π) < T(π) if early formation

 $\rightarrow \bullet$ At what Q squeezing starts in the exclusive pion production at high energies?

New type of hard hadronic processes - *branching exclusive processes* of large c.m. angle scattering on a "cluster" in a target/projectile (MS94)

Two recent papers: Kumano, MS, and Sudoh PRD 09; Kumano &MS arXiv:0909.1299, Phys.Lett. 2010

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

to study both CT of 2 → *2 and hadron GPDs*

For e A collider examples of possible processes **Pore** dor overalecef po a comunicie de bles of possible pro

$$
Y^* + A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 A^* \qquad Y^* + A \rightarrow \rho^0 \pi^+ A^*
$$

current fragmentation

$$
A \rightarrow 0^0 T^+ A^*
$$

nuclear fragmentation

rapidity interval between π^+ and A regulates formation time and hence CT!!!

<u>P Providence</u> and the providence of the p

measure cross sections of large angle (γ)pion - pion (kaon) scattering

 $\frac{1}{2}$ probe 5q in nucleon and 4q in mesons

measure GPDs of nucleons, photons, and mesons(!)

measure pattern of freezing of space evolution of small size configurations

$2 \rightarrow 3$ branching processes:

test onset of CT for $2 \rightarrow 2$ avoiding diffusion effects

For example at what s',t process $\gamma \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi$ is due to scattering in small configurations, when point -like component of photon starts to dominate.

measure transverse sizes of b, d,c

-
-
-

Factorization:

N e (meson)

 $\psi^i_b \otimes H \otimes \psi_d \otimes \psi_c$

c (baryon)

If the upper block is a hard $(2 \rightarrow 2)$ process, "b", "d", "c" are in small size configurations as well as exchange system (qq, qqq). Can use CT argument as in the proof of QCD factorization of meson exclusive production in DIS (Collins, LF, MS 97)

⇓

$$
\mathcal{M}_{NN\to N\pi B} = GPD(N\to B)\otimes \psi_b^i
$$

 $l_{coh} = (0.4 \div 0.6 \text{ fm}) \cdot p_h/(GeV/c)$ $p_c \geq 3 \div 4 \text{ GeV}/c$, $p_d \geq 3 \div 4 \text{ GeV}/c$ $p_b \geq 6 \div 8 \,\text{GeV/c}$

trivially satisfied for EIC kinematics

Time evolution of the $2 \rightarrow 3$ process

How to check that squeezing takes place and one can use GPD logic?

Use as example process γΑ→π·π· Α*

Branching (2→*3) processes with nuclei - freezing is 100% effective for pinc > 100 GeV/c - study of one effect only - size of fast hadrons*

consider the rest frame of the nucleus

$p_f(\pi) = p_v/2$, vary $p_{ft}(\pi) = 1 - 2$ GeV/c;

$$
\vec{r} = \exp\left(-\int_{\text{path}} dz \,\sigma_{\text{eff}}(\vec{p}_j, z) \rho_A(z)\right)
$$

Large effect even if the pion radius is changed just by 20%

If there are two scales in pion (Gribov) - steps in $T(k_t^{\pi})$ as a f unction of k_t ^{π}

 $d^3r\rho_A(\vec{r})P_b(\vec{p}_b,\vec{r})P_c(\vec{p}_c,\vec{r})P_d(\vec{p}_d,\vec{r})$

where $\vec{p}_b, \vec{p}_c, \vec{p}_d$ are three momenta of the incoming and outgoing \vec{p}_b $\rho_A(\vec{r})d^3r=A$

$$
\sigma(d, x) = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \alpha_s (Q_{eff}^2) d^2 \left[x G_N(x, Q_{eff}^2) + \frac{2}{3} x S_N(x, Q_{eff}^2) \right]
$$

If squeezing is large enough can measure quark- antiquark size using dipole - nucleon cross section which I discussed before

Discussed processes will allow

to discover the pattern of interplay of large and small transverse distance effects (soft and hard physics) in wide range of the processes including elastic scattering, large angle two body processes

compare wave function of different mesons

map the space-time evolution of small wave packets at distances $| \lt z \lt 6$ fm

test the role of chiral degrees of freedom in hard interactions

✺

✺

✺

✺ measure a variety of GPDs including GPDs of photon